

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/047,334	01/14/2002	Denise Forte-Pathroff	923-20	7124	
7590 10/24/2003			EXAMINER		
CARTER, DELUCA, FARRELL & SCHMIDT, LLP 445 BROAD HOLLOW ROAD			FRIDIE JR, WILLMON		
SUITE 225	OLLOW ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MELVILLE, N	NY 11747		3722		
			DATE MAILED: 10/24/200	3 5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	`\
	10/047,334	FORTE-PATHROFF, DENISE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Willmon Fridie,Jr.	3722	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a re ply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ite, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	<u> April 2002</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t		· ·	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	• •		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		440() () = (0	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn prionty under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	to be a become and		
1. Certified copies of the priority documer		P - P - A1	
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	•		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. {	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application	n).
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 	• •		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Ir	tummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/047,334 Page 2

Art Unit: 3722

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1,4,5,7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chesley.
- 3. Chesley discloses all of the subject matter as set forth in the claims and is substantially identical to the invention as broadly recited. Some of the claimed elements clearly disclosed by the reference are: sequence indicia (20), and time indicia(18a).
- 4. Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kerpe.
- 5. Kerpe discloses all of the subject matter as set forth in the claims and is substantially identical to the invention as broadly recited. Kerpe inherently discloses the method as set forth.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,334 Page 3

Art Unit: 3722

ý

- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 8. Claims 3,6 and 9 as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chesley.
- 9. In regard to claims 3,6 and 9 it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the claimed indicia since it would only depend on the intended use of the assembly and the desired information to be displayed. Further, it has been held that when the claimed printed matter is not functionally related to the substrate it will not distinguish the invention from the prior art in terms of patentability. In re Gulack 217 USPQ 401, (CAFC 1983). The fact that the content of the printed matter placed on the substrate may render the device more convenient by providing an individual with a specific type of indicia does not alter the functional relationship. Mere support by the substrate for the printed matter is not the kind of functional relationship necessary for patentability. Thus, there is no novel and unobvious functional relationship between the printed matter and the substrate which is required for patentability.
- 10. Claims 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kerpe.
- 11. Kerpe discloses the claimed invention except for the specific arrangement and/or content of indicia (printed matter) set forth in the claim(s). It would have been obvious to one

Application/Control Number: 10/047,334

Art Unit: 3722

Page 4

having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the claimed indicia since it would only depend on the intended use of the assembly and the desired information to be displayed. Further, it has been held that when the claimed printed matter is not functionally related to the substrate it will not distinguish the invention from the prior art in terms of patentability. In re Gulack 217 USPQ 401, (CAFC 1983). The fact that the content of the printed matter placed on the substrate may render the device more convenient by providing an individual with a specific type of indicia does not alter the functional relationship. Mere support by the substrate for the printed matter is not the kind of functional relationship necessary for patentability. Thus, there is no novel and unobvious functional relationship between the printed matter, and the substrate which is required for patentability.

- 12. Claims 2 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chesley in view of Kerpe.
- 13. Chesley discloses the claimed invention except for the use of a solar and lunar characters. Kerpe teaches that it is well known in the art to use solar and lunar characters to distinguish between day and night use. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Chesley with solar and lunar characters in the manner as taught by Kerpe in order to distinguish between day and night use.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

14. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,334 Page 5

Art Unit: 3722

15. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing

to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the

invention. Claim 6 is vague and confusing.

Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Willmon Fridie, Jr. whose telephone number is 703 308 1866.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30am-6:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Andrea Wellington can be reached on 703 308 2159. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 1148.

Willmen Fridie, Jr. Primary Examiner Art Unit 3722

wf

Application/Control Number: 10/047,334

Art Unit: 3722

Page 6